Quick Reference Guide for Thermal Design for Power Semiconductor SMD type: Part 2

Outline:

TOSHIBA

The Quick Reference Guide for Thermal Design for Power Semiconductor SMD type: Part 2 is designed to provide at-a-glance information as a supplement to other application notes on thermal design. Simplified models are used herein to make it easy to understand thermal tendencies. This document is a sequel to <u>Quick Reference Guide for Thermal Design for Power Semiconductor SMD</u> <u>type</u> available on the website of Toshiba Electronic Devices & Storage Corporation.

Table of Contents

Outline:	1
Table of Contents	2
0. Introduction: Quick Reference Guide for Thermal Design for Power Semiconductor SMD type: Part 2	3
0.1. Background	3
0.2. Summary	4
1. Board material vs. thermal resistance	6
2. TIM thickness vs. thermal resistance	7
3. Effects of a TIM vs. thermal resistance	8
4. Comparison of temperatures of thermally interfering chips	9
5. Effects of thermally interfering traces	10
6. Dense placement vs. dispersed placement	11
7. Orientation of a heatsink vs. thermal resistance	12
8. Length of thermal fins vs. thermal resistance	13
9. Thickness of thermal fins vs. thermal resistance	14
10. Number of thermal fins vs. thermal resistance	15
11. Distance between a heatsink and the inner wall of a chassis vs. thermal resistance	16
12. Distance between a device and the inner wall of a chassis vs. thermal resistance	17
13. Measure #1 for heat spots (high-thermal-conductivity sheet)	18
14. Measure #2 for heat spots (casing material)	19
15. Air direction and velocity vs. thermal resistance	20
16. Effects of cooling air velocity and device placement (e.g., correlation between devices with high a low power dissipation)	and 21
17. Effects of cooling air velocity and device placement (e.g., correlation between physically large as small devices)	nd 22
RESTRICTIONS ON PRODUCT USE	23

0. Introduction: Quick Reference Guide for Thermal Design for Power Semiconductor SMD type: Part 2

0.1. Background

Discrete semiconductor devices in automotive and high-power electronic applications (for power supply, motor drive, etc.) are increasingly exposed to higher temperatures because of 1) a reduction in system size, 2) an increase in board assembly density, and 3) an increase in system power consumption due to performance enhancement. It is therefore important to grasp the thermal profile of your system design in the early stages of development. Under these circumstances, Toshiba provides several application notes on its website to help you reduce chip temperature and thereby facilitate thermal design. Lately, Toshiba released <u>Hints and Tips for</u> <u>Thermal Design for Discrete Semiconductor Devices — Part 2</u> that provides the results of simulations using natural convection models and <u>Hints and Tips for Thermal Design for Discrete Semiconductor Devices — Part 2</u> that provides the results of simulations using conditions more closely.

Since these application notes were published, we have received feedback from their readers, indicating that they need a handy at-a-glance guide to know just enough about thermal design quickly. The Quick Reference Guide for Thermal Design for Power Semiconductor SMD type was created to provide at-a-glance information as a supplement to other application notes.

This document is a sequel to it. The surface-mount package models for power semiconductor devices used herein are based on SOP Advance and TO-220SM(W) for <u>Toshiba's MOSFETs</u>. ^{Note} These models are simplified to make it easy to grasp only thermal tendencies. While package models are simplified, a board model used as a baseline represents a 1.6 mm thick four-layer board with a trace thickness of 35 µm. This application note is in a question-and-answer format. We hope that it will help you understand thermal behavior.

Note: Toshiba's power semiconductor SMDs are available in a wide range of packages, including thermally enhanced DSOP Advance with a double-sided-cooling structure.

0.2. Summary

The following table summarizes the simulation results, indicating that each countermeasure provides a reduction in thermal resistance.

10	Contents Countermeasure Reduction in thermal registence Note1				
	Contents	Countermeasure	Reduction in thermal resistance		
1.	Board material vs. thermal	Using a ceramic board to reduce	FR4 \Rightarrow ceramic board: 56%		
	resistance	thermal resistance considerably	reduction		
2.	TIM ^{Note2} (grease) thickness vs. thermal resistance	TIM that is just thick enough to fill a gap	1.0 mm \Rightarrow 0.1 mm: 6% reduction		
3.	Effects of a TIM vs. thermal resistance	Reducing a gap between two components and using a TIM to further reduce thermal resistance	20 μ m gap \Rightarrow TIM insertion: 11% reduction		
4.	Comparison of thermally interfering chips	Reducing device-to-device spacing causes a rise in temperature because of the effect of thermal interference.	(Effect of thermal interference: Heating of a single chip \Rightarrow simultaneous heating of two chips: 41% increase in chip temperature)		
5.	Effects of thermally interfering traces	Thermal interference occurs, depending on the shapes of traces.	-		
6.	Dense placement vs. dispersed placement	Allowing as much spacing as possible when placing many heat-generating devices on a board	Dense placement \Rightarrow dispersed placement: 13% reduction		
7.	Orientation of a heatsink vs. thermal resistance	Orienting thermal fins vertically in the case of natural convection	Fins facing down \Rightarrow Fins standing vertically: 6% reduction		
8.	Length of thermal fins vs. thermal resistance	Increasing the length of fins (The reduction in thermal resistance levels off at a certain point as the length of fins is increased.)	0 mm \Rightarrow 15 mm: 29% reduction		
9.	Thickness of thermal fins vs. thermal resistance	Optimizing the thickness of thermal fins	0.2 mm \Rightarrow 2.0mm: 4% reduction		
10.	Number of thermal fins vs. thermal resistance	Optimizing the number of thermal fins	3 fins \Rightarrow 9 fins: 16% reduction		
11.	Distance between a heatsink and the inner wall of a chassis vs. thermal resistance	Providing more spacing between a heatsink and the inner wall of a chassis	5 mm \Rightarrow 80 mm: 26% reduction		
12.	Distance between a device and the inner wall of a chassis vs. thermal resistance	Minimizing the gap between a device and the inner wall of a chassis and inserting a TIM between them	2 mm spacing \Rightarrow 0.1 mm gap filled by a TIM: 38% reduction		
13.	Measure #1 for heat spots (high-thermal-conductivit y sheet)	Attaching a high-thermal-conductivity sheet inside a casing to diffuse heat across its surface	No sheet ⇒ Attaching a sheet: Up to 15% reduction in casing surface temperature		
14.	Measure #2 for heat spots (casing material)	Using a casing made of a material with high thermal conductivity (λ)	Low $\lambda \Rightarrow \text{high } \lambda$: Up to 19% reduction in casing surface temperature		
15.	Air direction and velocity vs. thermal resistance	Blowing air at high velocity in a direction parallel to thermal fins	Perpendicular \Rightarrow parallel: Up to 15%pp ^{Note3} reduction 0.1 m/s \Rightarrow 2.0 m/s: 41% reduction		
16.	Effects of cooling air velocity and device placement (e.g., correlation between	Air velocity and device-to-device spacing have greater effects on devices with lower power dissipation.	_		

	devices with high and low power dissipation)		
17.	Effects of cooling air velocity and device placement (e.g., correlation between physically large and small devices)	Placing small devices upwind and large ones downwind to reduce overall temperature	_

Note 1: The reductions in thermal resistance shown above are the results under specific conditions. For details, see the following pages.

Note 2: TIM stands for thermal interface material and collectively refers to any material that is inserted between a heatsink and a device or between a heatsink and a board in order to enhance the thermal coupling between them. Note 3: %pp (percentage point) represents an arithmetic difference between two percentages.

1. Board material vs. thermal resistance

Question: How much does the board material affect the thermal resistance?

Conditions:



Conclusion: Using a ceramic board results in lower thermal resistance than using an FR4 board. A ceramic board is particularly beneficial when the board has no vias.

Results: Using a ceramic board with high thermal conductivity helps reduce thermal resistance considerably. Using a ceramic board is particularly beneficial when vias cannot be drilled through a board. A ceramic board results in more than 50% lower thermal resistance than an FR4 board (FR4 ⇒ ceramic: 55.5% reduction).



	Board	Via	Board material	Relative thermal resistance
	Four layer	Yes	FR4	68.5%
			Ceramic	43.2%
		No	FR4	90.9%
			Ceramic	43.2%
	Two layer	Yes	FR4	75.2%
			Ceramic	44.5%
		No	FR4	100%
			Ceramic	44.5%

Note 1:Relative thermal resistance R
th(ch-a) = each_thermal_resistance / baseline_thermal_resistance × 100 (%)Note 2:Relative to a baseline model of a two-layer board with no via

2. TIM thickness vs. thermal resistance

Question: How much does the thickness of a TIM affect the thermal resistance?

Conditions:



Conclusion: Use just enough TIM (grease) to fill a gap. An excessively thick TIM results in an increase in thermal resistance.

Results: Reducing the thickness of the TIM inserted between a board and a heatsink helps reduce thermal resistance (1.0 mm \Rightarrow 0.1 mm: 6.1% reduction). Since the TIM has a thermal conductivity on the order of a fraction of one watt per m·K to several watts per m·K, an excessively thick TIM has an adverse effect. It is therefore desirable to fill only the gap between a board and a heatsink as shown above.

Data:



Note 1: Relative thermal resistance $R_{th(ch-a)} = each_thermal_resistance / baseline_thermal_resistance × 100 (%) Note 2: Relative to a TIM with a thickness of 1.0 mm (①)$

3. Effects of a TIM vs. thermal resistance

Question: How much does the insertion of a TIM affect the thermal resistance?

Conditions:



Conclusion: Minimize a gap between two components to reduce thermal resistance. Using a TIM helps reduce thermal resistance further.

Results: When two solid components are in contact with each other, contact thermal resistance occurs because of an uneven interface. The thicker the air layer, the higher the contact thermal resistance and therefore the total thermal resistance. Inserting a TIM helps reduce thermal resistance (20 μ m air layer \Rightarrow TIM: 10.8% reduction). The smaller the contact area, the bigger the benefit a TIM provides.



Air layer	Relative resis	thermal tance
thickness (um)	2in. square	1in. square
100	98.9%	125%
50	94.2%	111%
20	89.9%	100%
10	87.7%	95.6%
5	86.1%	92.8%
1	83.9%	89.4%
TIM	83.7%	89.2%

Relative thermal resistance R_{th(ch-a)} = each_thermal_resistance / baseline_thermal_resistance × 100 (%) Note 1: Relative to a baseline model with a board one inch square and an air layer with a thickness of 20 µm Note 2:

4. Comparison of temperatures of thermally interfering chips

Question: How much does thermal interference affect chip temperature?

Conditions:



Conclusion: When two nearby devices generate heat simultaneously, thermal interference causes their chip temperature to rise more than in the case that only one device generates heat.

Results: Two devices are placed side by side and heated separately and simultaneously. Even at the same power dissipation, heating two devices simultaneously results in a chip temperature 41% higher than heating them separately. This difference occurs because when two devices are heated simultaneously, they thermally interfere with each other, causing the temperature of each device to rise further. It is therefore necessary to provide sufficient spacing between two devices.



PKG1	PKG2	Rela tempera	tive ture rise
P _D (W)	P _D (W)	PKG1	PKG2
2.0	0	100%	48.1%
0	2.0	47.8%	100%
2.0	2.0	141%	141%

Note 1: Relative temperature rise in the X direction = temperature rise_at_each_position / baseline_ temperature_rise × 100 (%)

Note 2: The baseline is the maximum rise in chip temperature that occurs when only PKG1 is active. Note 3: The sum of the rises in chip temperature in PKG1 and PKG2 that occur in the X direction when they are separately heated is almost equal to the rise in chip temperature that occurs when they are simultaneously heated.

5. Effects of thermally interfering traces

Question: How much do the shapes of Cu traces affect the device temperature?

Conditions:

Package: Six devices housed in SOP Advance Conditions: $T_a = 25$ °C, $P_D = 0.5$ W per device Board: Four-layer board (2 inches sq.) Thickness: 1.6 mm Traces: Top layer = A and B Layers 2, 3 and 4 = Cu covered 35 µm thick on all layers Placement: The six devices are placed in

such a manner that their E-pads are on top of the trace at the center of the board. (See the right-hand figure for trace and device placement.)



Conclusion: The impact of thermal interference depends on the shapes of traces.

Results: Since the width of Trace B is narrow at the position highlighted by the red circle, it hampers the flow of heat from Devices 1 and 2 to the other devices. In addition, Devices 1 and 2 suffer from thermal interference that occurred inside small traces. The temperature of Device 2, in particular, becomes higher than that of Trace A.







Note 1: Relative chip temperature T_{ch}

= chip_temperature_of_each_device / baseline_temperature × 100 (%)

Note 2: Relative to the chip temperature of Device 1 on a board with Trace A

Note 3: In the case of both Trace A and Trace B, the temperatures of three devices (1, 4, and 6) at the corners become high, indicating that they do not dissipate heat efficiently.

6. Dense placement vs. dispersed placement

Question: How much does device placement affect the thermal resistance?

Conditions:



Device placement: Dense, intermediate, and dispersed (See the above figure.)

Conclusion: Thermal resistance can be reduced by providing sufficient spacing between heat sources on a board.

Results: When a board is densely populated with devices, their temperatures and thermal resistances increase. The temperature at the center of these devices tends to increase considerably, causing thermal resistance to increase. Temperature decreases toward the edge of the board, causing thermal resistance to decrease as well (Dense placement ⇒ dispersed placement: 12.7% reduction). If a board has many heat sources, it is desirable to provide sufficient spacing between them.



7. Orientation of a heatsink vs. thermal resistance

Question: How much does the orientation of a heatsink affect the thermal resistance?

Conditions:

Package: SOP Advance Conditions: $T_a = 25^{\circ}$ C, $P_D = 2.0$ W Orientations of the heatsink: See the figure below. Board: Four-layer board (2 inches sq.), 1.6 mm thick Board material: FR4 Cu coverage: 100% (35 µm thick) on all layers Vias: 9 vias below a device Unit in mm





Conclusion: In natural convection, thermal resistance depends on the orientation of thermal fins. It is desirable to attach a heatsink with its thermal fins standing vertically.

Results: In the case of natural convection, thermal resistance depends on the orientation of thermal fins. When air flows smoothly along the surfaces of the fins, heat disperses rapidly, causing thermal resistance to decrease (Fins facing down \Rightarrow Fins standing vertically: 6% reduction). Note that there is a difference of 16%pp between the minimum and maximum thermal resistances.



Note 1: Relative thermal resistance $R_{th(ch-a)} = each_thermal_resistance / baseline_thermal_resistance × 100 (%) Note 2: Relative to a baseline model with thermal fins facing down$

8. Length of thermal fins vs. thermal resistance

Question: How much does the length of thermal fins affect the thermal resistance?

Conditions:

Package: TO-220SM(W) Conditions: $T_a = 25^{\circ}$ C, $P_D = 7.0$ W Board: Four-layer board (2 inches sq.), 1.6 mm thick Cu coverage: 100% (35 µm thick) on all layers Vias: 25 vias below a device Heatsink: Seven fins with a thickness of 2.0 mm See the following table for the length of fins.





Conclusion: Thermal resistance can be reduced by increasing the length of thermal fins. However, it levels off at a certain point.

Results: Thermal resistance can be reduced by increasing the length of thermal fins. In the case of the model shown above, a heatsink with 15 mm thermal fins provides a 28.6% reduction in thermal resistance. This is considered to be the effects of an increase in the total surface area of the fins and the overall envelope volume of the heatsink. Note that, however, thermal resistance does not decrease linearly with the fin length and levels off at a certain point.

Data:



Note 1: Relative thermal resistance $R_{th(ch-a)} = each_thermal_resistance / baseline_thermal_resistance × 100 (%) Note 2: Relative to a heatsink having only a base (with a thickness of 5.0 mm) and no fin.$

9. Thickness of thermal fins vs. thermal resistance

Question: How much does the thickness of thermal fins affect the thermal resistance?

Conditions:

Package: TO-220SM(W) Conditions: $T_a = 25$ °C, $P_D = 7.0$ W Board: Four-layer board (2 inches sq.), 1.6 mm thick Board material: FR4 Cu coverage: 100% (35 µm thick) on all layers Vias: 25 vias below a device Heatsink: Seven fins with a length of 25.0 mm See the following table for the thickness of fins.



Conclusion: The optimal fin thickness varies regardless of the external dimensions and the number of thermal fins of the heatsink.

Results: The bellow figure shows the relationship between thermal resistance and fin thickness. The thermal resistance becomes the minimum when the fin thickness is 2.0 mm (0.2 mm \Rightarrow 2.0 mm: 4% reduction). However, thermal resistance increases as the fin thickness is increased beyond this point. It is considered that as the fin thickness is increased, the fin-to-fin spacing decreases, causing air not to flow smoothly and therefore resulting in an increase in thermal resistance (difference between the minimum and maximum thermal resistances: 19% points). Conversely, excessively thin fins cause heat dissipation from the fins to decrease, causing thermal resistance to increase. Therefore, fins with an optimal thickness should be selected.



Note 1: Relative thermal resistance $R_{th(ch-a)} = each_thermal_resistance / baseline_thermal_resistance × 100 (%)$ Note 2: Relative to a baseline model with a fin thickness of 0.2 mm

10. Number of thermal fins vs. thermal resistance

Question: How much does the number of thermal fins affect the thermal resistance?

Conditions:



Conclusion: The optimal fin count varies regardless of the external dimensions and the fin thickness of the heatsink.

Results: The below graph shows the thermal resistance of a device having different numbers of thermal fins with the same thickness. A heatsink with nine fins provides the minimum thermal resistance (3 fins \Rightarrow 9 fins: 15.7% reduction). A heatsink with more fins provides less space where air flows. Therefore, its cooling effect levels off at a certain fin count, causing thermal resistance to increase (difference between minimum and maximum thermal resistances: 21% points). Conversely, a heatsink with too few thermal fins does not provide sufficient heat dissipation because of a low surface area, causing thermal resistance to increase.



Note 1: Relative thermal resistance $R_{th(ch-a)} = each_thermal_resistance / baseline_thermal_resistance × 100 (%)$ Note 2: Relative to a baseline model of a heatsink with three fins

11. Distance between a heatsink and the inner wall of a chassis vs. thermal resistance

Question: How much does the distance between a heatsink and a chassis affect the thermal resistance?

Conditions:

Package: TO-220SM(W) Conditions: $T_a = 25^{\circ}$ C, $P_D = 5.0$ W Board: Four-layer board (2 inches sq.), 1.6 mm thick Cu coverage: 100% (35 µm thick) on all layers Vias: 25 vias below a device Heatsink: 50.0×51.5 mm, 11 fins Chassis: 100×100 mm, arbitrary height, aluminum Heatsink-to-chassis distance: See the table below.



Conclusion: Chip temperature and thermal resistance decrease as the distance between a heatsink and a chassis increases.

Results: When placing a heatsink inside a chassis, thermal resistance can be reduced by providing as much spacing as possible between the heatsink and the chassis (5 mm \Rightarrow 80 mm: 26.4% reduction). This is because, with more spacing, natural convection has a greater cooling effect.



Note 1: Relative chip temperature = each_chip_temperature / chip_temperature_of_baseline_model \times 100 (%) Note 2: Relative thermal resistance R_{th(ch-a)} = each_thermal_resistance / baseline_thermal_resistance \times 100 (%) Note 3: Relative to a baseline model with an X distance of 5 mm

Chassis

12. Distance between a device and the inner wall of a chassis vs. thermal resistance

Question: How do the distance between a device and a chassis and the insertion of a TIM affect thermal resistance?

PKG &





Conclusion: It is desirable to minimize the distance between a device and a chassis. Inserting a TIM between a device and a chassis helps reduce thermal resistance considerably.

Results: Thermal resistance decreases as the device-to-chassis distance decreases. When the device-to-chassis distance is 2 mm or less, thermal conduction becomes dominant as air does not flow smoothly through this spacing. With a greater device-to-chassis distance, natural convection begins to have a positive effect. In addition, thermal resistance can be reduced considerably by inserting a TIM between a device and a chassis (2 mm spacing ⇒ 0.1 mm spacing filled by TIM: 38% reduction).



Note 1: Relative thermal resistance $R_{th(ch-a)} = each_thermal_resistance / baseline_thermal_resistance × 100 (%) Note 2: Relative to a baseline model with a device-to-chassis distance of 2.0 mm$

13. Measure #1 for heat spots (high-thermal-conductivity sheet)

Question: How can I reduce heat spots on the surface of a casing?

Conditions:

Package: Five devices housed in SOP Advance Conditions: T_a = 25°C, P_D =1 W per device Board: Four-layer board (97 × 48.8 mm), 1.6 mm thick Cu coverage: 100% (35 µm thick) on all layers External casing dimensions: 100×50×8 mm, 0.5 mm thick TIM (High-thermal-conductivity sheet): Surface thermal conductivity = 700 W/m·K Thickness direction = 10 W/m·K

> Device-tocasing distance 0.5mm

Model 1: Without a high-thermal-conductivity sheet



Model 2: With a high-thermalconductivity sheet

Conclusion: Attaching a high-thermal-conductivity sheet inside the casing helps reduce heat spots.

Results: If a heat source is housed in a thin casing, heat spots might occur on the surface of the casing. In this case, attaching a high-thermal-conductivity sheet inside the casing helps increase heat dissipation and thus reduce heat spots (No sheet ⇒ Attaching a sheet: Up to 15% reduction in casing surface temperature).

Data:





Model 1: Without a high-thermal-conductivity sheet



Model 2: With a high-thermal-conductivity sheet

Note 1: Relative casing surface temperature = temperature_at_each_position / baseline_temperature × 100 (%) Note 2: Relative to the baseline temperature at the center (0 mm position) of the casing surface without a high-thermal-conductivity sheet

14. Measure #2 for heat spots (casing material)

Question: How can I reduce heat spots on the surface of a casing?

Conditions:

Package: Five devices housed in SOP Advance Conditions: T_a = 25°C, P_D =1 W per device Board: Four-layer board (97 × 48.8 mm), 1.6 mm thick Cu coverage: 100% (35 µm thick) on all layers External casing dimensions: 100×50×8 mm, 0.5 mm thick Casing materials: Stainless steel, aluminum





Conclusion: Using a high- λ material for the casing helps reduce heat spots.

Results: When a casing made of a material with low thermal conductivity is used, heat spots tend to occur on the surface of the casing. In contrast, using a casing with a high thermal conductivity helps reduce heat spots (Low $\lambda \Rightarrow$ high λ : Up to 19% reduction in casing surface temperature).

Data:





Note 1: Relative casing surface temperature

= temperature_at_each_position / baseline_temperature × 100 (%)

Note 2: Relative to the temperature at the center (0 mm position) of a stainless steel casing

15. Air direction and velocity vs. thermal resistance

Question: How much do the air direction and velocity relative to the thermal fins of a heatsink affect the thermal resistance?

Conditions:

Package: TO-220SM(W) Conditions: $T_a = 25^{\circ}C$, $P_D = 10.0 \text{ W}$ Board: Four-layer board (2 inches sq.), 1.6 mm thick Cu coverage: 100% (35 µm thick) on all layers Vias: 25 vias below a device Heatsink: Seven fins, fin thickness = 2.0 mm, fin length = 25.0 mm, base = 5.0 mm, TIM



Air direction: Parallel and perpendicular to the thermal fins of a heatsink

Conclusion: It is advisable to orient thermal fins in a direction parallel to the air flow. Thermal resistance decreases as air velocity increases, but levels off at a certain point.

Results: The below graph shows relative thermal resistances when air is blown toward the thermal fins of a heatsink in both parallel and perpendicular directions while changing air velocity. Blowing air to thermal fins in the parallel direction provides an up to 15% lower thermal resistance than blowing air in the perpendicular direction. Increasing air velocity provides a considerable reduction in thermal resistance (0.1 m/s \Rightarrow 2.0 m/s: 41% reduction). However, the reduction levels off when air velocity reaches a certain point (2 m/s in the case of this model). It is considered that, at this point, the effect of air has spread across the entire surface of the fins.



Note 1: Relative thermal resistance R_{th(ch-a)} = each_thermal_resistance / baseline_thermal_resistance × 100 (%) Relative to a baseline model with an air velocity of 0.1 m/s blowing in a direction perpendicular to the Note 2: thermal fins

16. Effects of cooling air velocity and device placement (e.g., correlation between devices with high and low power dissipation)

TOSHIBA

Question: How much does the placement of devices with high and low power dissipation (P_D) affect their thermal resistance?



Conclusion: Air velocity and device-to-device spacing have greater effects on devices with lower power dissipation.

Results: The chip temperature of the low-P_D device depends on air velocity and device-to-device spacing. Also, the chip temperature of the device placed downwind tends to become higher than that of the device placed upwind. Air velocity has a greater impact on the chip temperature of the device placed downwind. In contrast, in the case of the high-P_D device, the chip temperature decreases as air velocity increases and does not depend greatly on device-to-device spacing. The device placed downwind exhibits chip temperature only slightly higher than that of the device placed upwind. Such differences in chip temperature are considered to be caused by the effects of thermal conduction (including interference) and air (thermal convection).



Note 1: Relative chip temperature T_{ch} = each_chip_temperature / Baseline_chip_temperature × 100 (%) Note 2: Relative to a baseline model placed upwind with an air velocity of 1 m/s and 5 mm spacing 17. Effects of cooling air velocity and device placement (e.g., correlation between physically large and small devices)

Question: How much do air velocity and the placement of physically large and small devices affect the thermal resistance?

Conditions:

TOSHIBA

Package: SOP Advance (small device) TO-220SM(W) (large device) Conditions: $T_a = 25^\circ$, $P_D = 5.0$ W (both large and small devices), forced air cooling (air velocity: 1, 3, and 5 m/s) Board: Four-layer board (2 × 1 inches), 1.6 mm thick Cu coverage: 100% (35 µm thick) on all layers Chassis: 101.6×51.8 mm, 15.6 mm high, 1.0 mm thick





Top view (The top surface is not shown)

Conclusion: Placing a small device upwind provides a greater reduction in chip temperature.

Results: The chip temperatures of physically large and small devices differ because air strikes them in a different manner.

• The higher the air velocity, the higher the cooling effect. • The chip temperature of the device with a larger surface area becomes lower. • Chip temperature decreases as device-to-device spacing increases, but levels off at a certain distance. •Although it is beneficial to place a small device upwind, placing a large device upwind has only a small positive effect. It is necessary to take the device size into consideration when a board has both small and large devices.



Relative chip temperature T_{ch} = each_chip_temperature / baseline_chip_temperature × 100 (%) Note 1: Relative to a model with the small device placed upwind with an air velocity of 1m/s and 5 mm spacing Note 2:

RESTRICTIONS ON PRODUCT USE

Toshiba Corporation and its subsidiaries and affiliates are collectively referred to as "TOSHIBA". Hardware, software and systems described in this document are collectively referred to as "Product".

- TOSHIBA reserves the right to make changes to the information in this document and related Product without notice.
- This document and any information herein may not be reproduced without prior written permission from TOSHIBA. Even with TOSHIBA's written permission, reproduction is permissible only if reproduction is without alteration/omission.
- Though TOSHIBA works continually to improve Product's quality and reliability, Product can malfunction or fail. Customers are responsible for complying with safety standards and for providing adequate designs and safeguards for their hardware, software and systems which minimize risk and avoid situations in which a malfunction or failure of Product could cause loss of human life, bodily injury or damage to property, including data loss or corruption. Before customers use the Product, create designs including the Product, or incorporate the Product into their own applications, customers must also refer to and comply with (a) the latest versions of all relevant TOSHIBA information, including without limitation, this document, the specifications, the data sheets and application notes for Product and the precautions and conditions set forth in the "TOSHIBA Semiconductor Reliability Handbook" and (b) the instructions for the applications, including but not limited to (a) determining the appropriateness of the use of this Product in such design or applications; (b) evaluating and determining the applicability of any information contained in this document, or in charts, diagrams, programs, algorithms, sample application circuits, or any other referenced documents; and (c) validating all operating parameters for such designs and applications. TOSHIBA ASSUMES NO LIABILITY FOR CUSTOMERS' PRODUCT DESIGN OR APPLICATIONS.
- PRODUCT IS NEITHER INTENDED NOR WARRANTED FOR USE IN EQUIPMENTS OR SYSTEMS THAT REQUIRE EXTRAORDINARILY HIGH LEVELS OF QUALITY AND/OR RELIABILITY, AND/OR A MALFUNCTION OR FAILURE OF WHICH MAY CAUSE LOSS OF HUMAN LIFE, BODILY INJURY, SERIOUS PROPERTY DAMAGE AND/OR SERIOUS PUBLIC IMPACT ("UNINTENDED USE"). Except for specific applications as expressly stated in this document, Unintended Use includes, without limitation, equipment used in nuclear facilities, equipment used in the aerospace industry, lifesaving and/or life supporting medical equipment, equipment used for automobiles, trains, ships and other transportation, traffic signaling equipment, equipment used to control combustions or explosions, safety devices, elevators and escalators, and devices related to power plant. IF YOU USE PRODUCT FOR UNINTENDED USE, TOSHIBA ASSUMES NO LIABILITY FOR PRODUCT. For details, please contact your TOSHIBA sales representative or contact us via our website.
- Do not disassemble, analyze, reverse-engineer, alter, modify, translate or copy Product, whether in whole or in part.
- Product shall not be used for or incorporated into any products or systems whose manufacture, use, or sale is prohibited under any applicable laws or regulations.
- The information contained herein is presented only as guidance for Product use. No responsibility is assumed by TOSHIBA for any infringement of patents or any other intellectual property rights of third parties that may result from the use of Product. No license to any intellectual property right is granted by this document, whether express or implied, by estoppel or otherwise.
- ABSENT A WRITTEN SIGNED AGREEMENT, EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN THE RELEVANT TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SALE FOR PRODUCT, AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT ALLOWABLE BY LAW, TOSHIBA (1) ASSUMES NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, INDIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, SPECIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES OR LOSS, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, LOSS OF PROFITS, LOSS OF OPPORTUNITIES, BUSINESS INTERRUPTION AND LOSS OF DATA, AND (2) DISCLAIMS ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES AND CONDITIONS RELATED TO SALE, USE OF PRODUCT, OR INFORMATION, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OR CONDITIONS OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, ACCURACY OF INFORMATION, OR NONINFRINGEMENT.
- Do not use or otherwise make available Product or related software or technology for any military purposes, including without limitation, for the design, development, use, stockpiling or manufacturing of nuclear, chemical, or biological weapons or missile technology products (mass destruction weapons). Product and related software and technology may be controlled under the applicable export laws and regulations including, without limitation, the Japanese Foreign Exchange and Foreign Trade Law and the U.S. Export Administration Regulations. Export and re-export of Product or related software or technology are strictly prohibited except in compliance with all applicable export laws and regulations.
- Please contact your TOSHIBA sales representative for details as to environmental matters such as the RoHS compatibility of Product. Please use Product in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations that regulate the inclusion or use of controlled substances, including without limitation, the EU RoHS Directive. TOSHIBA ASSUMES NO LIABILITY FOR DAMAGES OR LOSSES OCCURRING AS A RESULT OF NONCOMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS.

Toshiba Electronic Devices & Storage Corporation

https://toshiba.semicon-storage.com/